





**GUIDELINES** 

# Growth charts from birth for infants born at term and preterm: updated guidelines from the Portuguese Neonatal Society

Luís Pereira-da-Silva<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*, Daniel Virella<sup>2</sup>, Susana Pissarra<sup>1,4</sup>, Catarina Valpaços<sup>5</sup>, Manuel Cunha<sup>6</sup>, and Gustavo Rocha<sup>4</sup> on behalf of the Portuguese Neonatal Society

<sup>1</sup>Committee on Nutrition of the Portuguese Neonatal Society; <sup>2</sup>Neonatal Unit, Hospital Dona Estefânia and Maternidade Dr. Alfredo da Costa, Unidade Local de Saúde São José, Lisbon, Portugal; <sup>3</sup>NOVA Medical School I Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal; <sup>4</sup>Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Unidade Local de Saúde de São João, Porto, Portugal; <sup>5</sup>Neonatal Service, Centro Materno Infantil do Norte Albino Aroso, Unidade Local de Saúde de Santo António, Porto, Portugal; <sup>6</sup>Neonatal and Pediatrics Unit, Hospital de Cascais Dr. José de Almeida, Cascais, Portugal

# **Abstract**

The Portuguese Neonatal Society updates the growth charts recommended for term and preterm infants. The suitability of the growth chart depends on the gestational age, the purpose of the measurement, and the life cycle stage. To classify intrauterine growth at birth, the Fenton 2013 growth charts, which are based on anthropometric records at birth, are the most appropriate for both term and preterm infants. For monitoring postnatal growth in full-term infants, the WHO 2006 Growth Prescriptive Standards are strongly recommended. To specifically monitor weight loss in the initial postnatal days, the NEWT® (http://newbornweight.org) nomogram is recommended. To assess body weight changes in preterm infants while in hospital, an accurate open-access online calculator (www.growthcalculator.org), based on weight trajectories that take into account the initial physiological weight loss, is recommended. The Fenton 2013 growth charts can be employed concurrently to monitor growth in length and head circumference. To assess growth in preterm infants following their discharge from hospital, the Intergrowth-21 prescriptive standards are appropriate for infants born at more than 27 weeks of gestation, up to 64 weeks postmenstrual age. Beyond this age, the prescriptive WHO 2006 growth standards should be employed.

Keywords: Anthropometry. Growth charts. Guidelines. Preterm infant. Term infant.

Curvas de crescimento desde o nascimento para crianças nascidas de termo e prétermo: recomendações atualizadas pela Sociedade Portuguesa de Neonatologia

## Resumo

A Sociedade Portuguesa de Neonatologia atualiza as recomendações para o uso de curvas de crescimento de crianças nascidas de termo e pré-termo. A adequação das curvas de crescimento depende da idade de gestação, da finalidade da medição e do período no ciclo de vida. Para classificar o crescimento intrauterino, as curvas de Fenton 2013, baseadas em registos antropométricos ao nascer, são as mais adequadas tanto em recém-nascidos de termo como pré-termo. Para monitorizar o crescimento pós-natal de crianças nascidas de termo, são inequivocamente recomendadas as curvas padrão da OMS 2006. Para monitorizar especificamente a perda ponderal nos primeiros dias pós-natais, é recomendado o nomograma NEWT® (http://newbornweight.org). Para avaliar as variações do peso em recém-nascidos pré-termo durante o internamento,

\*Correspondence:

E-mail: l.pereira.silva@nms.unl.pt

Received: 18-06-2024 Accepted: 21-06-2024 https://pjp.spp.pt Available online: 11-07-2024 Port J Pediatr. (ahead of print) DOI: 10.24875/PJP.24000062

2184-3333 / © 2024 Portuguese Society of Pediatrics. Published by Permanyer. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

é recomendada uma calculadora confiável, *online* e de livre acesso (www.growthcalculator.org), baseada em trajetórias de peso que têm em conta a perda ponderal fisiológica inicial. Durante o internamento, as curvas de crescimento de Fenton 2013 podem ser usadas para monitorizar os crescimentos linear e cefálico. Para monitorizar, após a alta, o crescimento de crianças nascidas pré-termo, as curvas padrão do Intergrowth-21 são as mais adequadas para crianças nascidas com mais de 27 semanas de gestação, até às 64 semanas de idade pós-menstrual. Após esta idade, devem de ser usadas as curvas padrão da OMS 2006.

Palavras-chave: Antropometria. Curvas de crescimento. Recém-nascido de termo. Recém-nascido pré-termo. Recomendação.

# **Keypoints**

#### What is known

- A single representative longitudinal growth chart to classify term and preterm infants would be the gold standard.
- Such a tool is not currently available.
- As an alternative, multiple charts are used, at least with preterm infants.

#### What is added

- Updated guidelines are provided for growth charts to be used in infants born both at term and preterm.
- Growth charts specific to classifying intrauterine growth at birth and monitoring postnatal growth in the short and long term are recommended.

# Introduction

The anthropometric measurements most commonly used to assess growth in infants born at term<sup>1,2</sup> and preterm<sup>3,4</sup> are body weight, length, and head circumference.

The suitability of the growth chart depends on the infant's gestational age, the intended purpose of the measurement, and the infant's stage of life<sup>5</sup>.

Depending on the stage of life, it is recommended that appropriate growth charts be selected for the purpose of diagnosing intrauterine growth deviations at birth, monitoring the effectiveness of nutritional intervention while in hospital, particularly in preterm infants, and monitoring growth and nutritional status after hospital discharge<sup>1,6</sup>. Furthermore, it is recommended that specific growth charts be selected for infants born at term or born preterm<sup>2,3</sup>.

# Prescriptive standards versus descriptive references

Anthropometric measurements can be interpreted in comparison with either prescriptive standards or descriptive references<sup>7</sup>.

Prescriptive standards are typically derived from measurements obtained from a cohort of individuals assumed to be healthy, i.e., with no exposures known to adversely affect growth. Consequently, these describe the way healthy individuals are expected to grow<sup>7</sup>.

Descriptive references are typically derived from cross-sectional measurements of a convenience sample of individuals and describe how most individuals actually grow. Consequently, they may inadvertently set unhealthy attainment targets<sup>7</sup>. Nevertheless, they are the most widely available, due to their cost-effectiveness and feasibility in construction, compared to prescriptive standards<sup>7</sup>.

# **Objective**

In 2013 and 2020, the Portuguese Society of Neonatology critically reviewed the published growth charts for infants born preterm and provided guidelines for their use in clinical practice<sup>6,8</sup>.

This paper contains the updated guidelines for growth charts for infants born at term and preterm.

Table 1 presents a summary of the currently recommended growth charts, along with their respective levels of evidence (LOE) and strengths of recommendation (SOR)<sup>9</sup>.

1. Growth charts for classifying intrauterine growth at birth in term and preterm infants

Recommended: Fenton 2013 growth charts<sup>10</sup> (LOE 1, SOR A) (Table 1).

Charts based on anthropometric measurements at birth are appropriate for classifying intrauterine growth and should not be confused with growth charts based on fetal ultrasound measurements, which are appropriate for monitoring fetal growth<sup>6,10</sup>.

The cross-sectional sex-specific and gestational age-specific Fenton 2013 growth charts<sup>10</sup> include directly measured birth weight, length, and head circumference of preterm infants. These charts are based on a meta-analysis of six large population-based surveys of size at birth, covering gestational ages from 22

Table 1. Recommended growth charts for infants born at term and preterm, according to the purpose and the period of life cycle

| Infant's maturity         | Purpose  | Recommendation  | LOE* | SOR* |
|---------------------------|--|---|------|------|
| Term and preterm neonates | To classify intrauterine growth                      | Fenton 2013 growth charts <sup>10</sup>   | 1    | Α    |
| Infants born at term      | To monitor weight loss in the initial postnatal days | Online NEWT® nomogram<br>http://newbornweight.org   | 3    | С    |
|                           | To monitor short- and long-term growth               | WHO 2006 growth standards <sup>1</sup>  | 2    | В    |
| Infants born preterm      | To monitor growth while in hospital:                 |   |      |      |
|                           | Weight changes                                       | Online calculator www.growthcalculator.org  | 2    | В    |
|                           | Length and head growth                               | Fenton 2013 growth charts <sup>10</sup>   | 1    | Α    |
|                           | To monitor growth after discharge:                   | Intergrowth-21 standards <sup>28</sup> to monitor growth from 32 to 64 weeks postmenstrual age, in infants born > 27 weeks gestation.   | 2    | В    |
|                           |  | Fenton 2013 charts $^{10}$ to monitor growth from up to 50 weeks postmenstrual age, in infants born $\leq$ 27 weeks gestation $^{\dagger}$  | 1    | Α    |
|                           |  | WHO 2006 growth standards <sup>1</sup> after reaching<br>term equivalent age or the more advanced<br>ages covered by Intergrowth-21 <sup>28</sup> or Fenton<br>2013 <sup>10</sup> growth charts | 2    | В    |

<sup>\*</sup>LOE: level of evidence; SOR: strength of recommendation (adapted from9).

to 36 weeks. They were harmonized with the WHO 2006 Growth Standards for infants born at term<sup>1</sup>, smoothing the data between the preterm and WHO estimates while maintaining integrity with the data from 22 to 36 weeks and at 50 weeks<sup>10</sup>. The portions of the curves between 37 and 50 weeks were validated by comparing them with the growth of contemporary preterm infants<sup>11</sup>. Consequently, Fenton 2013 growth charts are currently the most appropriate to classify intrauterine growth at birth, both for neonates born at term and preterm.

The criterion most commonly used to classify intrauterine growth relates birth weight with gestational age, classing neonates as large-, appropriate-, or small-for-gestational age<sup>5</sup>. However, there is no consensus on the cut-offs for this classification<sup>12,13</sup>. While some authors define the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles as lower and higher thresholds, respectively, others consider as lower thresholds the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile, 3<sup>rd</sup> percentile, or –2 standard deviations to classify as small-for-gestational age, and the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile, 97<sup>th</sup> percentile or +2 standard deviations as higher thresholds to classify as large-for-gestational age<sup>12,13</sup>. The rationale for this derives from the power of a chart to accurately estimate statistically defined thresholds, which is dependent on

the sample size for each gestational age group of interest. Only samples comprising a minimum of 120 individuals possess sufficient statistical power to define the 3<sup>rd</sup> or the 5<sup>th</sup> percentiles<sup>14,15</sup>.

Accordingly, the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 97<sup>th</sup> percentiles, as defined by the Fenton 2013 growth charts<sup>10</sup>, may be employed as statistical thresholds for the identification of small-for-gestational age and large-for-gestational age infants, respectively.

# Strengths<sup>6</sup>:

- The Fenton meta-analysis<sup>10</sup> is the most comprehensive study to date, encompassing a sample size of nearly four million neonates with measured weight, 151,527 neonates with measured length, and 173,612 neonates with measured head circumference.
- The curves are stratified throughout percentiles three to 97, which allows for a more precise classification.
- The open-access online application *PediTools: Fenton 2013 for iOS* (https://peditools.org/fenton2013/index.php), based on the Fenton 2013 growth charts<sup>10</sup>, makes it possible to calculate z-scores online. This allows for a precise quantification of deviations in weight, length, and head circumference, particularly with extreme cases.

<sup>†</sup>In multicenter studies of preterm infants, Fenton 2013 charts are suggested to monitor growth from birth, as they were constructed from large samples of neonates that include gestational ages at the threshold of viability.

#### Limitations<sup>6</sup>:

- Although the meta-analysis<sup>10</sup> was based on selected studies from developed countries, the charts provided are not prescriptive standards for birth weight, as they included cross-sectional studies and, in some cases, twin pregnancies, morbidity during pregnancy, poor surveillance, and an altered nutrition status of pregnant women were not counted as exclusion criteria.
- In the construction of percentile curves, every study included in the meta-analysis<sup>10</sup> considered gestational age in complete weeks, except for the study by Voigt et al.<sup>16</sup>, which used gestational age in weeks and days. For the remaining reference curve proposals, anthropometric values for gestational ages between full weeks were mathematically extrapolated.
- To determine the values of each reference percentile (3, 10, 50, 90, and 97) for weight, length, and head circumference, the meta-analysis used the percentiles calculated in each individual study that met the inclusion criteria for each gestational age, instead of the collection of the recorded values for each neonate, thus reducing the accuracy, by accumulation of rounding and estimation errors.

# Growth charts to assess postnatal growth in term infants

# Monitoring weight loss in the initial postnatal days

Recommended: NEWT® nomogram (http://newborn-weight.org) (LOE 3, SOR C) (Table 1)

Systematic reviews on expected postnatal weight changes in breastfed infants indicate that the average weight loss during the initial postnatal period is expected to be between 5% and 8% of the infant's birth weight by two to four postnatal days<sup>17,18</sup>. Furthermore, most neonates regain their birth weight by 10 to 14 postnatal days. A weight loss exceeding 10% of the infant's birth weight warrants attention. This occurs with greater frequency in neonates delivered by cesarean section than by vaginal delivery<sup>19</sup>. In this context, nomograms designed for monitoring early infant weight changes, which take into account the major factors influencing early infant weight loss, are of great value for pediatric healthcare providers and parents<sup>20</sup>.

The online open-access *Newborn Early Weight Tool - NEWT*® (http://newbornweight.org) comprises nomograms that make it possible to plot the infant's weight percentile at any given time during the initial postnatal days on an hourly basis. This enables users to identify infants with excessive weight loss. The

NEWT® was constructed from a cohort of 161,471 healthy, singleton newborns born at 36 weeks gestation or more at 14 Northern California Kaiser Permanente hospitals between 2009 and 2013. Data were extracted from hospital records with a particular focus on the mode of delivery (vaginal or caesarean section), feeding type (exclusive breastfeeding, exclusive formula feeding, or both), and infant body weights (https://newbornweight.org/about/).

For breastfed newborns, percentiles were estimated from six to 72 hours of age for those delivered vaginally (96 hours if cesarean). For exclusively formula-fed newborns, these nomograms have a lower accuracy and period of surveillance, given the smaller sample size. In these nomograms, weight loss trajectories equal to or greater than the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile for vaginal deliveries, and equal to or greater than the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile for caesarean deliveries, are considered excessive. A crossing of percentiles can also serve as an early warning for potential breastfeeding difficulties, which should be addressed before hospital discharge<sup>21,22</sup>.

# Monitoring short- and long-term growth

Recommended: WHO 2006 growth charts<sup>1</sup> (LOE 2, SOR B) (Table 1)

The WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study<sup>23</sup> developed sex- and age-specific growth charts to describe the growth of healthy term infants in six countries from diverse geographical regions, with no significant morbidities, living in conditions with good sanitation and hygiene, and socioeconomic conditions favorable to growth.

This study combined a longitudinal follow-up of 882 children, generating growth charts from birth to 23 months, with a cross-sectional sample of 6,669 children, from 24 months to five years of age<sup>1</sup>. While exclusive or predominant breastfeeding for at least four months was required for participants in the longitudinal component, a minimum of three months of any breastfeeding was required for participants on the cross-sectional component<sup>23</sup>. Consequently, the growth charts of the longitudinal component are more closely aligned with prescriptive standards than those derived from the cross-sectional component.

### Strengths:

The WHO growth charts are the closest available methods to prescriptive standards for monitoring growth in term infants up to five years of age living anywhere, regardless of their ethnicity, socio-economic status, and type of feeding<sup>1</sup>. The WHO offers online access to age- and sex-specific values for centiles and z-cores, which are presented in both graphical and tabular formats (https://www.who.int/tools/child-growth-standards/standards/weight-for-age). Furthermore, the open-access WHO AnthroPlus software for calculating centiles and z-cores can be downloaded (https://who-anthroplus.freedownloadscenter.com/windows/).

# Limitations

- The generation of two distinct growth curves from two different samples results in a slight disjunction at two years of age, where the transition from longitudinal to cross-sectional curves occurs<sup>1</sup>.
- The inter-country differences in social determinants of health, environmental factors, and genetic composition led some authors to question the suitability of the one-size-fits-all approach of the WHO 2006 growth standards to several settings<sup>24,25</sup>.
- This problem does not seem to arise in Portugal, given the country's favorable socioeconomic and health conditions for growth. Therefore, as in several other countries where these standards are widely implemented<sup>26</sup>, in 2013 the Portuguese Directorate General of Health adopted the WHO 2006 growth standards<sup>1</sup> for general use (norm nº 010/2013, May 31st, 2013). Changes in social demographics may affect its suitability.

# Growth charts to assess postnatal growth in preterm infants

The ideal growth charts for assessing postnatal growth in infants born preterm would be prescriptive standards constructed from a large, long-term follow-up cohort of infants recruited at the prenatal period from uneventful pregnancies, including neonates from the threshold of viability to term gestational age at birth, with no significant neonatal morbidities, thereby enabling representative use throughout the infant's early life. Such a tool is currently unavailable<sup>6</sup>. Consequently, while in the neonatal intensive care unit, there is a frequent need to use multiple charts, which may affect compliance with routine growth monitoring<sup>27</sup>.

# Monitoring growth while in hospital

## **B**ODY WEIGHT CHANGES

Recommended: the online calculator: www.growth-calculator.org (LOE 2, SOR B) (Table 1).

Defining postnatal growth charts for preterm infants is a complex task. In these infants, the assessment of early postnatal weight changes is affected by

suboptimal nutrition that may be confused with postnatal weight loss secondary to adaptive contraction of extracellular volume, particularly when weight loss is excessive<sup>27</sup>.

The longitudinal Intergrowth-21 prescriptive standards<sup>28</sup> and the cross-sectional Fenton 2013 descriptive references<sup>10</sup> have been the most frequently used tools to assess postnatal growth in infants born preterm<sup>29</sup>. Both growth charts describe a steady increase in body weight from birth<sup>10,28</sup>, which is an erroneous assumption, as the physiological weight loss that occurs during the early postnatal period is not reflected in these charts<sup>30,31</sup>.

In this context, a comprehensive longitudinal study in preterm infants revealed that, provided postnatal adaptation is uncomplicated, body weight transits at the 21<sup>th</sup> postnatal day to a trajectory at 0.8 SD below birth weight, regardless of the gestational age at birth<sup>32,33</sup>. Consequently, it is neither anticipated nor desirable that the weight gain of preterm neonates should approximate intrauterine weight gain during the first postnatal month<sup>6</sup> as had previously been suggested<sup>34,35</sup>.

An open-access online calculator (www.growthcalculator.org) was constructed from a large longitudinal study<sup>32,33</sup> to accurately monitor the weight changes in preterm neonates while they remain in hospital. This tool graphically displays the percentile in which the current weight is plotted, as well as the target weight and the deviation from the current weight in grams. By way of limitations, this tool does not yet provide a graphic trend or a curve from the infant's weight records.

# LINEAR GROWTH AND HEAD GROWTH

Recommended: Intergrowth-21<sup>28</sup> (LOE 2, SOR B) or Fenton 2013 growth charts<sup>10</sup> (LOE 1, SOR B) (Table 1).

As length and head circumference increase in a linear fashion from birth, the cross-sectional Fenton 2013 growth charts<sup>10</sup> can be employed to monitor postnatal linear growth and head growth<sup>36</sup>.

# Monitoring growth after discharge

Recommended: Intergrowth-21<sup>28</sup> (LOE 2, SOR B) or Fenton 2013 growth charts<sup>10</sup> (LOE 1, SOR A), and, when reaching term corrected age, the WHO 2006 growth standards<sup>1</sup> (LOE 2, SOR B) (Table 1).

Very preterm and extremely preterm infants are usually discharged after the first postnatal month. By this age, it is expected that most infants' body weight has caught up. Both Intergrowth-21<sup>28</sup> and Fenton 2013 growth charts<sup>10</sup> were constructed in such a way that, at those advanced

ages, the infant growth overlaps with the WHO 2006 growth standards designed for full-term infants<sup>1</sup>. Therefore, the WHO 2006 growth standards should be employed in preterm infants upon attaining term corrected age or when the age limits of both recommended growth charts for preterm infants have been exceeded. It is noteworthy that to date, no long-term growth follow-up of extremely low and very low birth weight infants has validated such a transition from growth charts constructed for preterm infants<sup>10,28</sup> to those constructed for term infants<sup>1</sup>.

For infants born at more than 27 weeks of gestation, the longitudinal Intergrowth-21 prescriptive standards are recommended for monitoring growth from 32 postmenstrual weeks to 64 postmenstrual weeks (6 months after term age)<sup>28</sup>.

For infants born at less than 27 weeks of gestation, the cross-sectional Fenton 2013 charts can be employed as an alternative to monitor growth up to 50 postmenstrual weeks (2.5 months after term age)<sup>10</sup>.

The Intergrowth-21 charts have strengths and one limitation<sup>6</sup>:

# Strengths:

- These prescriptive standards are well-designed and should be preferred to monitor the growth of infants born preterm after hospital discharge.
- An online calculator for body weight, length, and head circumference (http://intergrowth21.ndog.ox.ac. uk/en/ManualEntry/Compute) provides percentiles and z-scores, which permit the precise quantification of growth deviations.

## Limitation:

– A strength of the Intergrowth-21 study led to a limitation: because only healthy pregnant women were included, they gave birth to very few preterm neonates (5%), mostly late preterm births<sup>4,28</sup>. In fact, of the 201 healthy and stable preterm infants included in the cohort, only 28 infants born at 33 weeks' gestation or earlier contributed data to these standards. Consequently, Intergrowth-21 standards can be considered reliable for monitoring postnatal growth only in infants born at more than 27 weeks of gestation and from 32 weeks' postmenstrual age<sup>28</sup>. It is noteworthy that the American Academy of Pediatrics has expressed reservations about the Intergrowth-21 charts, citing concerns about their construction from a limited sample size, and advising against their use in infants with a gestational age of less than 36 weeks' postmenstrual age<sup>37</sup>.

# Growth charts for use in multicenter and population studies of preterm infants

In the setting of multicenter studies and population databases of infants born preterm, such as the

Portuguese Register of Very Low Birth Weight Infants - Registo Nacional do Recém-Nascido de Muito Baixo Peso<sup>38</sup>, the use of a single representative longitudinal growth chart would be preferable for the classification of intrauterine growth and the assessment of postnatal growth, covering gestational ages from the threshold of viability. Currently, such a tool is lacking.

As an alternative, during the first postnatal month, the www.growthcalculator.org<sup>32,33</sup> should be employed to assess postnatal weight gain and the Fenton 2013 charts<sup>10</sup> can be employed to monitor length and head growth<sup>6</sup>. In preterm infants, the Fenton 2013 charts<sup>10</sup> may overestimate postnatal weight gain during the first month and misclassify growth within the normal range as growth restriction.

After the first postnatal month, the cross-sectional Fenton 2013 charts<sup>10</sup> can be employed to monitor weight, length, and head growth, taking advantage of the fact that they were constructed from the anthropometry at birth of large samples of neonates from the threshold of viability, which is not the case with Intergrowth-21 prescriptive standards<sup>28</sup>. Once the infant has reached term corrected age, the WHO 2006 growth standards for infants born at term<sup>1</sup> should be employed<sup>10,28</sup>.

## **Conclusions**

The suitability of the growth chart depends on the infant's gestational age, the intended use of the measurement, and the infant's life cycle stage.

To summarize (Table 1):

- To classify intrauterine growth, the Fenton 2013 growth charts<sup>10</sup> based on anthropometric measurements at birth are the most appropriate for both neonates born at term and preterm.
- To monitor growth in infants born at term, the WHO 2006 growth standards¹ are highly recommended. To specifically monitor weight loss in the initial postnatal days, the NEWT® (http://newbornweight.org) nomogram is a good tool.
- To monitor body weight changes in very preterm infants while under intensive care, the open-access online calculator (www.growthcalculator.org) is recommended. Concurrently, the Fenton 2013 growth charts<sup>10</sup> can be employed to monitor growth in length and head circumference.
- To monitor growth in preterm infants after discharge, the Intergrowth-21 prescriptive standards<sup>28</sup> are suitable for infants born at more than 27 weeks of gestation, from 32 to 64 weeks postmenstrual age. Subsequently, the WHO 2006 growth standards<sup>1</sup> for term infants should be employed.

In multicenter studies and population databases of very preterm infants, the www.growthcalculator.org should be used to monitor weight gain during the first postnatal month and the Fenton 2013 charts<sup>10</sup> should be employed concurrently to monitor growth in length and head circumference. After the first postnatal month, the Fenton 2013 charts<sup>10</sup> are recommended for monitoring all anthropometric parameters.

# **Acknowledgement**

These recommendations were approved by the Direction of the Portuguese Neonatal Society on June 17, 2024.

#### **Authors' contribution**

Luís Pereira-da-Silva and Daniel Virella: Conception and design of the study, report, review, or another type of work; Drafting the article; Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content; Final approval of the version to be published (mandatory for all authors); Agreement to be accountable for the accuracy or integrity of the work (mandatory for all authors). Susana Pissarra, Catarina Valpaços, Manuel Cunha and Gustavo Rocha: Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content; Final approval of the version to be published (mandatory for all authors); Agreement to be accountable for the accuracy or integrity of the work (mandatory for all authors).

# **Funding**

None.

## **Conflicts of interest**

None.

## **Ethical disclosures**

**Protection of human and animal subjects.** The authors declare that no experiments were performed on humans or animals for this study.

**Confidentiality of data.** The authors declare that no patient data appear in this article. Furthermore, they have acknowledged and followed the recommendations as per the SAGER guidelines depending on the type and nature of the study.

**Right to privacy and informed consent.** The authors declare that no patient data appear in this article.

Use of artificial intelligence for generating text. The authors declare that they have not used any type of generative artificial intelligence for the writing of this manuscript, nor for the creation of images, graphics, tables, or their corresponding captions.

# References

- WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. WHO Child Growth Standards based on length/height, weight and age. Acta Paediatr Suppl. 2006;450:76-85. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2006.tb02378.x.
- Chomtho S. Clinical evaluation and anthropometry. World Rev Nutr Diet. 2022;124:7-15. doi: 10.1159/000516718.
- Bhatia J. Growth curves: how to best measure growth of the preterm infant. J Pediatr. 2013;162(3 Suppl):S2-6. doi: 10.1016/j. ipeds.2012.11.047.
- Fenton TR, Elmrayed S, Alshaikh B. Nutrition, growth and long-term outcomes. World Rev Nutr Diet. 2021;122:12-31. doi: 10.1159/000514745.
- Pereira-da-Silva L. Neonatal anthropometry: a tool to evaluate the nutritional status, and to predict early and late risks. In: Preedy VR, ed. The Handbook of Anthropometry: Physical Measures of Human Form in Health and Disease. Springer, New York, 2012, Chapt. 65, p.1079-104. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-1788-1.
- Pereira-da-Silva L, Virella D, Frutuoso S, Cunha M, Rocha G, Pissarra S; on behalf of the Portuguese Neonatal Society. Recommendation of charts and reference values for assessing growth of preterm infants: update by the Portuguese Neonatal Society. Port J Pediatr. 2020;51(1):73-78. doi. org/10.25754/pjp.2020.18888.
- Berkley JA. Measuring growth: descriptive or prescriptive? Lancet Digit Health. 2019;1(8):e380-e381. doi: 10.1016/S2589-7500(19)30198-0.
- Pereira-da-Silva L, Rocha G, Pissarra S, Cunha M, Alexandrino AM, Braga AC, et al.; em representação da Secção de Neonatologia da SPP. Recomendação de curvas de crescimento para crianças nascidas prétermo. Acta Pediatr Port. 2013;44(2):94-99. doi: 10.25754/pip.2013.2801.
- Ebell MH, Siwek J, Weiss BD, Woolf SH, Susman J, Ewigman B, Bowman M. Strength of recommendation taxonomy (SORT): a patient-centered approach to grading evidence in the medical literature. *J Am Board Fam Pract*. 2004;17(1):59-67. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.17.1.59.
- Fenton TR, Kim JH. A systematic review and meta-analysis to revise the Fenton growth chart for preterm infants. BMC Pediatr. 2013;13:59. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-13-59.
- Fenton TR, Nasser R, Eliasziw M, Kim JH, Bilan D, Sauve R. Validating the weight gain of preterm infants between the reference growth curve of the fetus and the term infant. *BMC Pediatr*. 2013;13:92. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-13-92.
- Zhang J, Merialdi M, Platt LD, Kramer MS. Defining normal and abnormal fetal growth: promises and challenges. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2010;202(6):522-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2009.10.889.
- Beune IM, Bloomfield FH, Ganzevoort W, Embleton ND, Rozance PJ, van Wassenaer-Leemhuis AG, et al. Consensus based definition of growth restriction in the newborn. J Pediatr. 2018;196:71-6.e1. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.12.059.
- Royston P, Altman DG. Design and analysis of longitudinal studies of fetal size. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 1995;6(5):307-312. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-0705.1995.06050307.x.
- Silverwood RJ, Cole TJ. Statistical methods for constructing gestational age-related reference intervals and centile charts for fetal size. *Ultra-sound Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;29(1):6-13. doi: 10.1002/uog.3911.
- Voigt M, Rochow N, Jährig K, Straube S, Hufnagel S, Jorch G. Dependence of neonatal small and large for gestational age rates on maternal height and weight--an analysis of the German Perinatal Survey. *J Perinat Med*. 2010;38(4):425-30. doi: 10.1515/JPM.2010.059.
- Thulier D. Weighing the Facts: A systematic review of expected patterns of weight loss in full-term, breastfed infants. J Hum Lact. 2016 Feb;32(1):28-34. doi: 10.1177/0890334415597681.
- DiTomasso D, Cloud M. Systematic Review of Expected Weight Changes After Birth for Full-Term, Breastfed Newborns. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs. 2019;48(6):593-603. doi: 10.1016/j.jogn.2019.09.004.
- Flaherman VJ, Schaefer EW, Kuzniewicz MW, Li SX, Walsh EM, Paul IM. Early weight loss nomograms for exclusively breastfed newborns. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(1):e16-23. doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-1532.
- Feldman-Winter L, Kellams A, Peter-Wohl S, Taylor JS, Lee KG, Terrell MJ, Noble L, Maynor AR, Meek JY, Stuebe AM. Evidence-based updates on the first week of exclusive breasfeeding among infants ≥ 35 weeks. *Pediatrics*. 2020;145(4)e20183696. doi: 10.1542/peds.2018-3696.
- Smith AP, Ward LP, Heinig MJ, Dewey KG, Nommsen-Rivers LA. First-day use of the newborn weight loss tool to predict excess weight loss in breastfeeding newborns. *Breastfeed Med*. 2021; 16(3):230-237. doi: 10.1089/bfm.2020.0280.
- Schrempp-da-Silva-Gaio-Esteves C, Soares-Martinez-Veiga-de-Macedo MC, Pereira JP, Rodrigues A, Feijó-Serrano-de-Matos-Amaro AC. A systematic method to evaluate newborn weight loss and its influence on breastfeeding success. *Port J Pediatr*. (ahead of print). doi: 10.24875/PJP. M24000432.
- de Onis M, Garza C, Victora CG, Onyango AW, Frongillo EA, Martines J. The WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study: planning, study design, and methodology. Food Nutr Bull. 2004;25(1 Suppl):S15-26. doi: 10.1177/15648265040251S103.

- Scherdel P, Dunkel L, van Dommelen P, Goulet O, Salaün JF, Brauner R, et al. Growth monitoring as an early detection tool: a systematic review. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2016;4(5):447-56. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(15)00392-7.
- Marume A, Archary M, Mahomed S. Validation of growth standards and growth references: A review of literature. J Child Health Care. 2022 Sep;26(3):498-510. doi: 10.1177/13674935211024816.
- de Onis M. Update on the implementation of the WHO child growth standards. World Rev Nutr Diet. 2013:106:75-82. doi: 10.1159/000342550.
- Pinheiro J. Growth monitoring through the continuum of neonatal care and its associated transitions. *Port J Pediatr.* 2020;51(1):6-8. doi. org/10.25754/pip.2020. 19136.
- Villar J, Giuliani F, Bhutta ZA, Bertino E, Ohuma EO, Ismail LC, et al.; International Fetal and Newborn Growth Consortium for the 21(st) Century (INTERGROWTH-21(st)). Postnatal growth standards for preterm infants: the Preterm Postnatal Follow-up Study of the INTERGROWTH-21(st) Project. Lancet Glob Health. 2015;3:e681-91. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00163-1.
- Kakatsaki I, Papanikolaou S, Roumeliotaki T, Anagnostatou NH, Lygerou I, Hatzidaki E. The prevalence of small for gestational age and extrauterine growth restriction among extremely and very preterm neonates, using different growth curves, and its association with clinical and nutritional factors. *Nutrients*. 2023;15(15):3290. doi: 10.3390/ nu15153290.
- Pereira-da-Silva L, Virella D. Is intrauterine growth appropriate to monitor postnatal growth of preterm neonates? *BMC Pediatr.* 2014;14:14. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-14-14.

- Greenbury SF, Angelini ED, Ougham K, Battersby C, Gale C, Uthaya S, Modi N. Birthweight and patterns of postnatal weight gain in very and extremely preterm babies in England and Wales, 2008-19: a cohort study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2021;5(10):719-728. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(21)00232-7.
- Rochow N, Raja P, Liu K, Fenton T, Landau-Crangle E, Göttler S, et al. Physiological adjustment to postnatal growth trajectories in healthy preterm infants. *Pediatr Res.* 2016;79:870-79. doi: 10.1038/pr.2016.
- Landau-Crangle E, Rochow N, Fenton TR, Liu K, Ali A, So HY, et al. Individualized postnatal growth trajectories for preterm infants. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2018;42(6):1084-1092. doi: 10.1002/jpen.1138.
- Nutrition Committee, Canadian Paediatric Society. Nutrient needs and feeding of premature infants. CMAJ. 1995;152(11):1765-85.
- American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Nutrition: Pediatric Nutrition Handbook. 5th ed. Elk Grove Village, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics. 2004.
- Pereira-da-Silva L, Virella D, Fusch C. Nutritional assessment in preterm infants: a practical approach in the NICU. *Nutrients*. 2019;11. pii: E1999. doi: 10.3390/nu11091999.
- American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Nutrition. Nutrition needs of preterm infants. In: Kleinman RE, Greer FR, eds. Pediatric Nutrition Handbook, 8th ed. Itasca: American Academy of Pediatrics, 2020, pp. 113-162.
- Registo Nacional dos Recém-Nascidos de Muito Baixo Peso. Rede de investigação neonatal nacional. Acta Pediatr Port. 1999;30(6):485-491. doi: 10.25754/pjp.1999.5527.